



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,873	12/14/2001		Jonathan Edwards	19903.0012	1763
23517	7590	09/16/2005		EXAMINER	
SWIDLER				ZAND, K	AMBIZ
3000 K STREET, NW BOX IP				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007				2132	

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/014,873	EDWARDS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kambiz Zand	2132					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 Do</u>							
, -	action is non-final.						
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 14 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= ' '						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(-) (-)					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
• •	Kaml	112 Zand front					
Attachment(s) 1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		$\boldsymbol{\nu}$					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/18/2002.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					
	<u> </u>						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/014,873

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-39 have been examined.

Information Disclosure Statement PTO-1449

2. The Information Disclosure Statement submitted by applicant on 12/18/2002 has been considered. Please see attached PTO-1449.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Le Pennec et al (2001/0020272 A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/014,873

Art Unit: 2132

As per claims 1, 14 and 27 Le Pennec et al (2001/0020272 A1) teach a method, system and computer program product of detecting a malware comprising the steps of: monitoring file access operations of a process (see page 2); intercepting a file access operation of the process to a file (see page 2, paragraph 0042 and 0043);

waiting a time interval (see page 2, paragraph 0046-0050); and scanning the file for a malware (see page 2, paragraph 0046-0050).

As per claims 2, 15 and 28 Le Pennec et al (2001/0020272 A1) teach the method, system and computer program product of claims 1, 14 and 27, wherein the process is associated with an application program (see page 2-16 where many instances relates to the above limitation).

As per claims 3, 16 and 29 Le Pennec et al (2001/0020272 A1) teach the method, system and computer program product of claims 1, 14 and 27, wherein the file access operation is a file write operation (see page 2-16 where many instances relates to the above limitation).

As per claims 4, 17 and 30 Le Pennec et al (2001/0020272 A1) teach the method, system and computer program product of claims 1, 14 and 27, wherein the file has a specified file type (see page 2-16 where many instances relates to the above limitation).

Art Unit: 2132

As per claims 5, 18 and 31 Le Pennec et al (2001/0020272 A1) teach the method, system and computer program product of claims 1, 14 and 27, wherein the time interval is predefined (see page 2, paragraph 0046-0050).

As per claims 6, 19 and 32 Le Pennec et al (2001/0020272 A1) teach the method, system and computer program product of claims 1, 14 and 27, wherein the time interval is user-defined (see page 2 where setting the manual time interval is considered as user defined time interval).

As per claims 7, 20 and 33 Le Pennec et al (2001/0020272 A1) teach the method, system and computer program product of claims 1, 14 and 27, wherein the time interval is based on a filetype of the file (see page 2-16 where many instances relates to the above limitation).

As per claims 8, 21 and 34 Le Pennec et al (2001/0020272 A1) teach the method, system and computer program product of claims 1, 14 and 27, wherein the time interval is based on the process(see page 2-16 where many instances relates to the above limitation).

As per claims 9, 22 and 35 Le Pennec et al (2001/0020272 A1) teach the method,

Art Unit: 2132

system and computer program product of claims 1, 14 and 27, wherein the malware is a computer virus (see page 2).

As per claims 10, 23 and 36 Le Pennec et al (2001/0020272 A1) teach the method, system and computer program product of claims 1, 14 and 27, wherein the malware is a computer worm (see page 2-5).

As per claims 11, 24 and 37 Le Pennec et al (2001/0020272 A1) teach the method, system and computer program product of claims 1, 14 and 27, wherein the malware is a Trojan horse program.

As per claims 12, 25 and 38 Le Pennec et al (2001/0020272 A1) teach the method, system and computer program product of claims 1, 14 and 27, further comprising the step of: allowing the intercepted file access operation of the process to a file to complete ((see page 2-16 where many instances relates to the above limitation).

As per claims 12, 26 and 39 Le Pennec et al (2001/0020272 A1) teach the method, system and computer program product of claims 12, 54 and 38, further comprising the step of: allowing at least one additional file access operation of the process to a file that occurs before the scanning of the file for a malware to complete (see page 2-16 where many instances relates to the above limitation).

Application/Control Number: 10/014,873 Page 6

Art Unit: 2132

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: see enclosed PTO-892.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kambiz Zand whose telephone number is (571) 272-3811. The examiner can normally reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00-5:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (571) 272-3799. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned as (571) 273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kambiz Zand

08/29/2005

AU2132